

# Much is New in Signaling for 1955

Those railroads which are to survive, by meeting competition with other forms of transportation, will install modern signaling systems, not only to get trains over the road faster, but also to utilize fewer track more efficiently, and to consolidate the controls of groups of interlockings

THE CONSTRUCTION of centralized traffic control, highway crossing protection and car retarders continued in high volume during 1954, but automatic block and interlocking were reduced, so that the total volume was about 6,967 units which is about 12 per cent less than the average for the three previous years, and considerably less than the 8,510 units for 1953.

The trend to install CTC instead of automatic block, as discussed above, is evidenced by the fact that very few extensive installations of automatic block were made on single track lines during 1954. As a whole only 678 signals were installed in automatic block in 1954, a drop from 1,171 average for the previous three years.

Centralized traffic control construction continued in large volume during 1954, the power switches and signals in such projects totaling 3,012; which is an increase compared with 2,859, the annual average for the previous three years. On important single track lines, which were not previously signaled, most railroads are installing CTC rather than automatic block, not only to save train time, compared with time-table and train order operation, but also to reduce operating expenses in several ways. For example, on 466 miles where CTC has been installed progressively in recent years eight dis-

	1954	1953	1952	1951
Automatic block signals . . . . .	678	967	1,318	1,189
Interlockings				
Signals and switches				
at new plants . . . . .	870	1,008	864	879
at rebuilt plants . . . . .	283	826	508	405
at automatic plants . . . . .	158	151	133	116
Spring switches				
Spring buffer mechanisms . . . . .	140	182	177	183
Facing-point locks . . . . .	59	84	70	58
Signals at spring switches . . . . .	203	256	317	280
Centralized traffic control				
Power switch machines . . . . .	548	680	597	398
Semi-Automatic signals . . . . .	1,496	1,845	1,512	1,153
Intermediate signals in CTC territory . . . . .	967	956	852	587
Classification yards				
Car retarders . . . . .	40	30	57	10
Power switch machines . . . . .	165	156	304	32
Highway crossing protection				
Number of crossings at which new installations were made in year . . . . .	1,362	1,491	1,435	1,406
Totals . . . . .	6,967	8,510	8,144	6,584

patchers and 58 operators have retired or transferred to other positions.

Opportunities to make somewhat similar savings in operating expenses could apply on perhaps a considerable proportion of the more than 53,700 miles of single track on which automatic block now in service could be replaced by CTC so that train movements could be authorized by signal indications rather than time-table and train orders. Here is a large opportunity, almost equal in mileage to all the CTC installed on single track up to now. Where extended sections of CTC are now in service with control machines at different places, these machines can now be consolidated. For example by using carrier, the Rio Grande, in 1954, consolidated, in one office, the controls of three CTC territories previously controlled from three offices on 285 miles of road.

## Fewer Tracks, More Signaling

Because of the change from steam to diesel locomotives, trains are fewer and are operated at higher average speeds, thus reducing the track-occupancy time; which leads to a conclusion that maybe fewer tracks would serve adequately in some sections. Further incentives are that the expenses for track maintenance and rail renewals are mounting rapidly, in spite of extensive use of power machines. Furthermore, removal of one or more main tracks, not needed under present circumstances, is now logical because the installation of centralized traffic control on the remaining track or tracks will provide the capacity required to handle trains efficiently.

For example, on previous double track, one track has been removed and the remaining track equipped with CTC on extended sections of the Milwaukee, the Erie, and the Boston & Maine. In 1954, on 167 miles

### AUTOMATIC BLOCK SIGNALING INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad and Location	Miles of Road	Number of Signals	Manufacturer
ACL			
Beaumont, S C-Hardeeville	84.0d	74	Union
Tborf City, Fla-Tampa	1.1d	4	Union
B&A			
Herron, Mo	1.0d	2	GRS
B&N			
Ely, Vt-White River Jct	19.5e	47	GRS
Boosick Jct, N Y	1.0e	1	GRS
CN			
Jackman, B C- Blue River	68.4e	93	GRS
CP			
Bedonte, Ont-MacTier	36.0e	58	Union and
St. Johnsbury, Vt-Wells River	20.0e	29	
Brooks, Ala-Gleichen, Alta	60.0e	71	GRS
Regina, Sask	3.9d	4	GRS
CB&Q			
Galesburg, Ill-Bushnell	20.5d	16	GRS
CRISP			
Casey, Ia-Anita	10.0e	10	Union
DL&W			
Dalton, Pa-Factoryville	4.2d	8	Union
Craigs, N Y-No. Alexandria	18.8d	18	Union
GN			
Holman, Minn-Moore	7.0e	12	GRS
NTA			
Boston-Revere	2.2d	22	GRS
NYO&W			
Middletown, N Y-Woodbridge	26.0e	23	Union
N&W			
Bluefield, W Va	1.1e	2	Union
NP			
Kalama, Wash-Vancouver	24.0d	37	GRS
DeSmet, Mont-Paradise	15.6e	6	GRS
Quinns, Mont-Paradise	2.0e	1	GRS
ONL			
Litchford, Ont-Temagami	22.0e	38	GRS
Southern			
Louisville, Ky-Harrodsburg	74.0e	95	GRS
WM			
Cumberland, Md-CG Jct	1.9d	3	Union
Union			
Mon Jct, Pa-Clairton	1.9e	4	Union
	364.5e	678	
	157.4d		

between Cincinnati, Ohio and Tateville, Ky., the Southern removed alternate 10-mile sections of second track, leaving alternate sections of approximately 10 miles of two-tracks with CTC signaling for train operation both ways on both tracks, as well as on all sections of single track. (See p. 33 May 1954.) This is a progressive development of merit that has proved to be entirely satisfactory in the operation of trains. Based on

the improved advantages of this installation, the Southern is now proceeding with an extension of this single-track, double-track arrangement with CTC between Flat Rock, Ky., and Oakdale, Tenn.; 70 miles.

A different problem is to increase the capacity of double track to obviate the need for a third track or to permit removal of other main tracks. By installing double crossover layouts about 10 miles apart and by adding power switch machines in centralized traffic control to run trains both ways on both tracks, fast trains are run around slower ones, and all are kept moving without some wasting time on sidings or waiting in the yards. This has been done successfully on extended mileages on the Rock Island, the North Western, the Missouri Pacific and more recently, in 1954, on the Frisco and the Union Pacific.

On a re-signaling project completed in 1954 by the Burlington on 38 miles of three main tracks between Chicago and Aurora, the center track is signaled both directions all the way, and various sections of the two

CENTRALIZED TRAFFIC CONTROL INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad and Location	Miles of Road	No. of Power Switches	No. of Lever-Controlled Signals	No. of Intermediate automatic Signals	Manufacturer
ACL					
Ambrose, Ga-Waycross	64.0s	23	63	22	Union
Uceta, Fla-Ybor City	1.7d	13	17	-	Union
Yemassee, S C	1.9s	6	6	-	Union
AT&SF					
Norman, Okla-Purcell	15.5s	4	10	8	Union
Cross, Okla-White Eagle	6.3s	11	17	8	Union
Guthrie, Okla	-	6	10	-	Union
Hawkirk, Okla	-	9	9	-	Union
Wynnewood, Okla-Purcell	28.5s	15	33	12	Union
Ricker, Tex-Brownwood	4.6s	6	14	-	Union
CN					
Port Arthur, Ont-Comee	35.0d	-	-	41	GRS
Nepean, Ont-Hurdman	30.0s	11	37	14	GRS
C&O					
Saginaw, Mich-Clare	52.6s	9	32	11	GRS
North Judson, Ind-Griffith	40.5s	10	31	20	Union
CB&Q					
Pac. Jct., Ia-Oresopolis, Neb	5.1s	1	5	8	GRS
Ashland, Neb-Lincoln	3.8d	13	46	12	GRS
Omaha, Neb	2.3d	30	36	-	GRS
Clinchfield					
Kingsport, Tenn	4.0s	2	4	-	Union
ChS F&ND					
Wichita Falls, Tex-Estelline	130.0s	15	95	42	GRS
1.1d					
DAH					
Ft. Edward, N Y-Whitehall	13.9s	4	12	12	GRS
Binghamton, N Y-Harpurville	15.6s	2	6	22	GRS
2.1d					
GN					
Delano, Minn-Willmar	52.6s	30	90	57	GRS
12.2d					
Blackfoot, Mont-Browning	7.0s	3	12	6	GRS
Libby, Mont-Troy	15.4s	4	16	14	GRS
IC					
Springfield, Ill-Divernon	16.6s	3	28	8	Union
ECS					
Mena, Ark-DeQueen	53.0s	14	42	29	GRS
L&N					
Kirkstall, Tenn-Corbis, Ky	80.0s	17	53	40	Union
MP					
N. Little Rock, Ark-Holland	17.2d	6	7	6	GRS
No. Jct., Ill-Gale	3.1d	1	-	8	GRS
NC&StL					
Bruceston, Tenn-Aulon	135.0s	16	75	72	Union
NAW					
Petersburg, Va-Camp Lee	3.3s	-	2	-	Union
Roanoke, Va	3.9s	2	6	2	Union
NYNH&H					
Braintree, Mass-Buzzards Bay	44.5s	15	36	26	GRS
PALE					
Neville, Pa-W. Economy	12.7s	-	-	12	Union
QNS&L					
Seven Islands, Que-Silver Yard	353.8s	25	97	71	GRS
StL-SF					
Amory, Miss-Tours	93.7s	15	70	40	Union
Rosedale, Kan-Paola	39.0d	13	36	61	Union
SAL					
Hayner, Ga-Kingsland	23.1s	6	18	10	Union
Gross, Fla-Yulee, Fla	6.1s	4	10	2	Union
Jacksonville, Fla-Baldwin	14.3s	7	21	6	Union
StLSW					
Jonesboro, Ark-Brinkley	71.4s	13	50	40	Union
SP					
Crescent Lake, Ore-Eugene	97.4s	45	130	78	Union
Southern					
Saluda, N C-Melrose	4.0s	5	13	2	GRS
UP					
Hermosa, Wyo-Laramie	19.0d	44	75	76	Union
Speer, Wyo-Carr, Col	16.0s	8	30	14	Union
Kansas City, Kan	3.2d	12	26	2	Union
Virginian					
Elmore, W Va-Gilbert	41.2s	18	50	13	GRS
Wabash					
Bement, Ill-Tolone	17.7s	4	12	10	Union
2.4d					
WM					
Cumberland, Md-Deal, Pa	17.8s	5	12	22	Union
4.0d					
Howardville, Md-Emory Grove	8.9s	3	6	8	Union
1.4d					
WP					
Hayward, Cal-Stockton	-	21	-	-	Union
Totals	1,800.0s	548	1,498	887	
165.1d					

INTERLOCKINGS INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad and Location	Number of Home Signals	Number of Power Switches	Manufacturer
AT&SF			
Arkansas City, Kan	12	6	Union
Needles, Cal	6	4	Union
DT Jct, Cal	2	-	Union
ACL			
Ybor City, Fla	9	5	Union
Yemassee, S C	9	7	Union
B&O			
Clarkeburg, W Va	18	24	GRS
ME Jct, W Va	7	19	GRS
B&N			
Worcester, Mass	4	-	GRS
CN			
Port Arthur, Ont	10	6	GRS
CP			
Norcran, Man	8	5	Union
C&O			
Huntington, W Va	33	36	Union
CB&Q			
W Hinendale, Ill	9	4	Union
Highlands, Ill	9	4	Union
CRI&P			
Colona, Ia	7	3	Union
CTA			
Chicago	6	2	Union
Clinchfield			
St. Paul, Va	4	2	Union
D&RGW			
Orestod, Col	3	1	GRS
Pueblo, Col	3	1	GRS
Erie			
Kearny, N J	7	7	GRS
GN			
Crookston, Minn	3	1	GRS
Troy, Mont	3	1	GRS
G&MO			
Bloomington, Ill	7	6	GRS
JC			
Plainfield, N J	12	16	Union
Dunnellen, N J	8	8	Union
Middlesex, N J	8	8	Union
K&IT			
Louisville, Ky	9	4	GRS
LV			
Easton, Pa	6	5	GRS
Jersey City, N J	4	2	-
Manville, N J	13	9	GRS
L&N			
Gentilly, La	9	3	GRS
MTA			
Revere, Mass	6	5	GRS
NYC&StL			
Chicago	6	4	-
NYNH&H			
New Haven, Conn	53	48	Union
NOUPT			
New Orleans, La	88	80	GRS
NOTC			
East City Switch	19	8	GRS
L&N Jct, New Orleans	27	9	GRS
NAW			
Bristol, Tenn	10	8	Union
NP			
Livingston, Mont	4	3	GRS
Pennsylvania			
Chatfield, Ohio	6	-	Union
Philadelphia Transit			
Philadelphia	8	4	Union
PALE			
Kendall, Pa	4	1	Union
W Economy, Pa	1	9	Union
UP			
Sand Creek, Col	7	5	Union
Totals	467	383	

INTERLOCKINGS RESULT IN 1954

Railroad and Location	Number of Home Signals	Number of Power Switches	Manufacturer
<b>AT&amp;SF</b>			
Baring, Mo	10	2	Union
Willow Spr, Mo	8	6	Union
Shopton, Ia	6	8	Union
<b>ACL</b>			
Ashley Draw, S C	4	-	Union
<b>B&amp;O</b>			
Hyndman, Pa	2	-	GRS
<b>B&amp;M</b>			
Manchester, N H	-	1	Union
<b>CN</b>			
Limoilou, Que	4	2	GRS
Toronto, Ont	13	-	Union
Clifton Jct	8	-	
St. Lambert, Que	2	2	GRS
<b>CM&amp;StP&amp;P</b>			
Milwaukee, Wis	-	1	Union
Fondulac, Wis	-	1	Union
Savanna, Ill	2	1	Union
Melbourne, Ia	2	1	Union
<b>DT&amp;I</b>			
Detroit, Mich	4	2	Union
<b>Erie</b>			
Cuba, N Y	5	5	Union
<b>FtW&amp;D</b>			
Chillicothe, Tex	6	1	GRS
<b>GN</b>			
Minneapolis, Minn	12	-	GRS
<b>KCT</b>			
Additions	8	8	Union
<b>MTA</b>			
Boston	-	4	GRS
<b>N&amp;W</b>			
Petersburg, Va	18	9	Union
St Paul, Va	4	2	Union
Norfolk, Va	4	-	Union
<b>NYC</b>			
Cleveland, Ohio	10	10	GRS
Blue Island, Ill	6	4	GRS
Colfax, Ind	8	6	GRS
<b>SAL</b>			
Taylor, Fla	4	-	Union
RA Tower	6	4	Union
NY Tower	4	4	Union
<b>SP</b>			
El Paso, Tex	12	5	Union
Union			
J-Tower	-	4	Union
BR-Tower	6	6	Union
<b>WP</b>			
Sankey, Cal	4	2	Union
<b>Totals</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>101</b>	

other tracks are signaled both ways. (See p. 29 June 1954.) This territory handles 144 scheduled trains daily including 66 suburban passenger trains that make many stops.

In a recent address, Alfred E. Perlman, president of the New York Central said, "All four-track main-line on the NYC will be cut back to double-track, with centralized traffic control installed to retain approximate capacity of present four-track line." In a second address Mr. Perlman said: In the 1955 budget "we have 300 miles on the Erie Division in which we will cut four tracks to two tracks, with reverse signaling." (CTC)

Signaling for High-Speed Turnouts

The practicability of utilizing alternate sections of single and double track, or two-track and three-track, was advanced in 1954 by the development, on the Erie, of the new No. 24 turnout applied in equilateral layouts where diverging moves can be made at 70 mph and eventually at 75 mph. These turnouts are in CTC territory including signaling to direct trains at the speeds for which the turnouts are designed. On the recently completed Frisco installation of two-track CTC, the power crossovers are good for diverging moves at 50 mph, and the signal aspects are designed to tell enginemen to bring their trains up to and through the crossovers at this speed. Freights which are running at 50 mph lose no time in making a move to the other track, and the passenger trains lose very little time. These developments in track, and in adaptation of signal aspects, contribute to the practicability of making

AUTOMATIC INTERLOCKINGS INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad	Location	Number of Home Signals	Manufacturer
<b>AT&amp;SF</b>	Pawnee, Okla	4	Union
<b>CN</b>	Carlyle, Sask	4	GRS
<b>C&amp;O</b>	La Crosse, Ind	4	Union
<b>CS&amp;Q</b>	Louisville, Neb	4	GRS
	La Platte, Neb	1s	
		4	GRS
	Oreapolis, Neb	4	GRS
<b>CI&amp;L</b>	Victoria, Ind	4	GRS
<b>CRIP&amp;P</b>	Cone, Ia	4	Union
	Seymour, Ia	5	Union
<b>EJ&amp;E</b>	So. Chicago	8	GRS
<b>FtW&amp;D</b>	Quannah, Tex	4	GRS
	Acme, Tex	4	GRS
	Vernon, Tex	7	GRS
<b>GN</b>	Warroad, Minn	1s	
	Butte, Mont	6	GRS
		5	GRS
<b>IC</b>	Brown, Ill	4	
<b>MP</b>	Jacksonville, Tex	4	GRS
<b>NY)&amp;W</b>	Summitville, N Y	4	
		2s	
<b>NP</b>	St. Paul, Minn	4	GRS
<b>PE</b>	Santa Fe Springs, Cal	6	Union
<b>StL-SF</b>	Altus, Okla	4	Union
	Fairmont, Okla	4	Union
	Bridge Jct, Ark	9	Union
		4s	
<b>SAL</b>	Taylor, Fla	4	Union
<b>Southern</b>	Macon, Ga	4	GRS
<b>SP</b>	Cleveland	4	Union
<b>UP</b>	4th St. Salt Lake	6	Union
	5th St. Salt Lake	6	Union
<b>wp</b>	French Camp, Cal	8	Union
	Lyoth, Cal	5	Union
		146 Signals	
		10 Switch Machines	

run-around moves where both tracks are signaled both ways with CTC.

A special aspect to make a "Stop and Proceed" out of a "Stop" on an interlocking home signal, as developed on the Burlington, was explained on page 30 in the June 1954 issue.

New Meaning for Interlocking

In the interlocking field, the thinking today is that the control of several interlockings, even in heavy traffic terminal territories, can be consolidated. This is now more practicable than previously because of new electronic devices, syncrostep, and multiplex high speed line systems that have the capacity to handle numerous outgoing control and incoming indication codes simultaneously, and all on two wires. Therefore the size of the interlocking or the remoteness from the control point are no obstacles. In the vicinity of Newark, N. J., the Lackawanna combined three interlockings. In New Haven, the New Haven is consolidating the control of several large plants. At Chicago, the Burlington is combining the control of four interlockings in terminal territory, and perhaps this project can later be extended to include more. Other roads are making studies to determine the practicability of similar consolidations, as for example all the interlockings between Division Street and the Madison Street terminal of the North Western at Chicago, or all the interlockings on the Illinois Central as far south as Sixty-Third Street in Chicago. Such projects will improve train operations by utilizing tracks more effectively because one man has control of switches and signals to set up routes and authorize train movements by signal indication on an entire terminal area or a major portion thereof. Furthermore, operating expenses will be reduced. For example, on a six-mile section of terminal, a proposal is to consolidate the control of six interlockings, with the result that more than 40 towermen positions would be eliminated.

Possibly the ultimate in an easily manipulated machine for the consolidated control of several interlockings is in the form of a sloping desk panel, not much larger than an ordinary office desk, each interlocking being controlled by entrance-exit buttons which are in two sets, each in a vertical row. The right row, for ex-

ample, has one button for all westward routes entering on a certain track, and the left row has one button for all eastward routes entering on that track. These pairs of rows can be spaced about four inches horizontally, so that the panel for control of an entire interlocking, including perhaps several switches and crossovers, would be only about six or eight inches horizontally. Thus, as many as seven or eight interlockings could be controlled from one desk type machine no longer, horizontally, than an office desk so that a seated man could reach all the control buttons. Such a machine includes no diagram of switches and crossovers, these being shown in a line-of-light track diagram on a large scale mounted in a semi-circular form above and to the rear of the control machine.

Control of a power interlocking switch by radio from the cab of a locomotive was installed in 1954 by the Santa Fe as explained in the October 1954 issue.

### Practicability of Automatic Controls

Automatic control of interlockings at railroad crossings, where trains approaching on each track all use a certain route, have been installed extensively for years. Some of these plants include switch machines to operate movable crossing frogs or derails, and a few plants include switch machines to operate switches at junctions of single and double track, where trains approaching on a given track always go through on a given route. Years ago the Great Northern used automatic intermittent inductive train stop equipment, on the wayside and on a locomotive, to selectively control the switch machine on a facing-point junction switch leading from a main line to a branch.

In 1954 the Chicago Transit Authority, on its elevated lines, made the first installation of an automatic interlocking including selective control of a facing-point junction switch utilizing electronic train identification equipment as explained in the October 1954 issue. A similar system now being installed on the Flushing line of the New York subways will reduce the present ten points (for control of interlocking power switches and signals), to two, and will thereby result in large savings in operating expenses, and will reduce train delays.

### Savings in Yards Also

Also in the field of power switches and car retarders in yards, new electronic devices are being developed to expedite operation and reduce operating expenses. Previously each towerman had control of the switches and retarders in a certain area, so that for a large yard there might be three or more towers. In 1950 the Cana-

### SPRING SWITCHES INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad	Number of Spring Switches Installed	Number Equipped With Facing-Point Lock	Number of Signals
AT&SF	2y	-	38
B&M	2d	-	4
CP	3s	3	9
CN	5s	-	-
CMST&P	2s	3	3
	1d	-	-
C&IM	1y	-	2
C&NW	1s	3	12
	2d	-	-
	2y	-	-
CRI&P	3s	2	6
D&RGW	1s	1	3
FtW&D	9s	9	27
FEC	1y	-	2
GN	2s	2	10
IC	1s	0	2
M&StL	1y	-	-
NC&StL	1y	-	1
NYC	1j	1	3
NYO&W	2s	-	2
NYNH&H	1d	1	1
N&W	3y	-	-
Penna	1s	5	7
	4d	-	-
QNS&L	22s	22	-
StL-SF	8s	-	16
	1y	-	-
SAL	2y	1	2
Southern	20s	-	21
	1d	-	-
SP	6s	5	9
	4j	-	-
	4y	-	-
T&P	6s	-	18
UP	3s	-	3
Wab	1y	-	-
WP	1y	1	2
	95s	59	203
	29y	-	-
	11d	-	-
	5j	-	-
	140	-	-

dian Pacific and the Illinois Central made the first installations of automatic switching which is a system in which automatic controls of power switches are set up before cars pass over the hump, the controls being initiated by a man pushing a button corresponding with the classification track to which a car, or cut of cars, is to be routed. In 1952, the Reading incorporated these switch buttons in the panel in the tower, so that the same man controlled all the switches as well as all of the retarders in a yard with 33 classification tracks. In these automatic switching controls as many as four or five controls could be punched and stored, prior to arrival of cars at the crest of the hump. Whether it is desirable to store more than six controls is an open question, depending on local circumstances. However, if desired, a system can be arranged to set up the automatic switching control for an entire train of 120 cars or more. This is done in a system developed on the Union Pacific and installed as a demonstration on 8 tracks in 1954.

### CAR RETARDERS INSTALLED IN 1954

Railroad	Location	Number of Retarders	Rail Feet of Retarder	No. of Power Switches	No. of Track Circuits	No. of Signals	Number of Classification Tracks	No. of Towers	No. of Control Machines	Manufacturer
Bowater Paper Co.	Calhoun, Tenn	2	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	GRS
Iron Ore Co. Canada	Seven Islands, Que	4	306	7	12	12	8	-	-	GRS
		1*	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	Union
		1	99	6	7	6	4	1	1	GRS
L&N-NC&StL	Nashville, Tenn	11	1373	55	50	5	56	2	2	Union
Norfolk & Western	Bluefield, W Va	2	312	11	11	-	-	1	1	Union
Orinico Min. Co.	Puerto Ordaz, Ven	1*	110	3	3	3	3	1	1	Union
Pitt. & Con. Dock	Conneant, O	3	525	-	-	-	-	2	1	Union
SAL	Hamlet, N C	11	1169	57	234	4	58	1	1	Union
Union	Duquesne, Pa	4	743	26	26	6	-	1	1	Union
		40	4834	165	343	36	-	-	-	-

\*Inert Retarders

**HIGHWAY-RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING  
PROTECTION INSTALLED IN 1954**

Railroad	Number of Crossings Protected by New Installations		Source of Funds Based on Number of Crossings		
	No. of Crossings At Which Flashing-Light Signals Only Were Installed	No. of Crossings Electrically Operated Gates and Flashing Light Signals Were Installed	Rail-road	Public Funds (Any Source)	Joint Rail-road and Public Funds
AT&SF	69	16	42	4	39
ACL	27	20	18	-	29
A&WP	-	1	-	-	1
Geo	2	-	1	-	1
FAO	9	20	18	2	9
FA&P	1	-	-	-	1
EA&E	3	-	3	-	-
E&M	10	9	12	2	5
CN	45	7	7	-	35
CP	21	9	7	4	17
CG	17	2	13	-	6
CY	2	-	2	-	-
CAO	17	9	11	3	12
CIAL	5	-	2	-	3
CM&ST&P	24	5	7	-	22
CA&I	2	2	1	-	3
CA&M	-	1	1	-	-
CA&W	27	13	11	-	29
CA&E	1	-	1	-	-
CA&O	8	-	4	-	4
CGW	1	-	-	-	1
CNS&M	-	3	1	-	2
CR&P	15	11	12	2	12
CSS&SB	-	1	1	-	-
CTA	-	4	4	-	-
DL&W	2	-	2	-	-
D&H	12	4	8	5	1
DT&I	2	-	1	-	1
D&RGW	4	-	4	-	-
DSS&A	1	-	-	-	1
EJ&E	1	2	1	-	2
Eric	9	13	16	1	5
Ft&WD	1	-	1	-	-
FEC	1	23	15	5	4
GN	16	8	9	-	15
GB&W	2	-	-	-	2
GM&O	8	2	1	2	7
IC	13	7	3	1	16
JC	5	8	13	-	-
KCS	8	-	5	-	3
LA&T	5	-	5	-	-
L&NE	3	-	3	-	-
LV	6	3	6	-	3
LI	3	13	16	-	-
L&N	5	2	4	1	2
MP	78	8	61	4	31
MA&STL	3	-	1	-	2
MKT	7	1	7	-	1
NC&STL	5	1	4	-	2
NYO&W	-	2	2	-	-
NYC&STL	19	14	21	6	6
N&W	10	3	9	-	4
NP	23	6	20	2	7
NYC	51	24	43	1	31
PA&E	1	7	8	-	-
NYNH&H	34	2	35	1	-
ON	1	-	-	-	1
PE	20	-	6	2	12
Penn	35	23	20	2	36
PRSS	2	-	1	1	-
PA&V	2	-	2	-	-
QC	5	-	-	-	5
Reading	17	10	21	-	6
RF&P	-	1	-	-	1
StL-SF	28	6	23	-	11
StLSW	8	-	5	-	3
Soo	13	4	5	-	12
SAL	23	4	4	4	19
Southern	43	4	35	-	12
SP	86	18	22	2	80
SP&S	2	-	1	-	1
TR&STL	3	7	7	2	1
T&P	9	2	7	-	4
T&PW	3	-	-	-	3
TH&B	2	-	1	-	1
UP	23	7	12	-	18
Union	1	-	1	-	-
Wabash	10	4	5	4	5
WM	2	2	2	-	2
WP	3	1	2	-	2
<b>Totals</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>612</b>

In a classification yard at Portsmouth, Ohio, where retarders were installed years ago, the Norfolk & Western, in 1954, modernized the track layout, reduced the number of retarders from 12 to 5, and installed modern systems of retarder speed control and automatic switching control. A special feature is that automatic switching controls are prepared in tape form, so that controls for an entire "train" of perhaps 150 cars or more can be punched and stored on the tape before starting to push the cars over the hump. This is the first use of this punched tape system of storing controls.

The next step, automatic control of retarders, has also been under development. A system of automatic retarder control, in which the speed is measured by short track circuits, was installed in a yard on the Milwaukee in 1952. At Gary, Ind., in 1953, the Elgin, Joliet & Eastern made a 16-track test installation of automatic retarder controls using radar equipment for speed measurement, and this system including further developments, was installed in 1954 in the entire 58-track yard. In 1954 the Union Pacific made an 8-track test installation at North Platte, Neb., using radar for speed measurement. A new factor of this installation is that it takes into account the distance a car is to go on a classification track before reaching other cars already standing there. Large yards including some or all of these new developments are nearing completion on the Southern at Chattanooga; on the Seaboard at Hamlet; and on the Southern Pacific at Houston.

### New Crossing Protection Controls

New developments in the controls of automatic highway crossing protection, brought forth in 1954, dealt primarily with methods for preventing unnecessary delay to highway traffic when train movements over a crossing are not imminent. These controls cut out crossing signals and raise gates when trains stop after entering approach control sections, and place the protection in operation again when the train is ready to proceed toward the crossing again. In 1954 the RF&P accomplished these special restart controls by using radio on the locomotive and on the wayside; and by using wayside apparatus to detect the sound of the locomotive whistle (Oct. 1954 issue). Also in 1954, the Union Pacific announced the development of a recurrent timing system in which changes in controls are effected by a small impulse developed when the leading wheels pass one insulated joint (Dec. 1954 issue).

Because of the increased wage costs, the railroads in 1954 planned and installed numerous crossing protection projects including automatically controlled gates to replace watchmen or gatemen. Because of the improved protection provided by automatically controlled gates with flashing lights at the principal street crossings in a town, other previous crossings have been closed, for example as discussed in the article "Gates at Three Crossings; Barriers at Four on the GM&O" in the July 1954 issue.

### Power Equipment in Signal Construction

In signal construction work the trend is to reduce the amount of hard work involved, by using power driven pole-hole diggers, trench digging machines, and power derricks for lifting and setting all heavy objects, such as precast foundations, signal masts and instrument cases or housings. The wiring of instrument cases and houses is being done in the factory or in shops at central locations on large projects, thus producing uniformly good wiring, as well as saving much time that was previously lost in travel when cases were wired in place on the road. Where highways are available and local terrain permits, many railroads are using highway trucks for transporting light-weight materials and men on signal construction projects. Some of these trucks are equipped with "A" frames at the rear, and with power winches, so that cases, switch machines and other heavy equipment can be handled easily.

A trend which gained momentum in 1954 was to do

*(Continued on page 29)*

**POLE LINE CONSTRUCTION IN 1954**

Railroad	Miles of New Or Rebuilt Pole Line	Miles of New Copper Wire
AT&SF	123	209
ACL	-	1,058
B&O	84	84
BAR	85	-
B&N	50	-
CN	748	2,966
CP	710	894
CoFG	279	65
C&O	217	101
C&NW	300	-
CB&I	1	100
CB&Q	96	-
CN&StP&P	278	897
CR&P	-	62
Clinchfield	10	-
C&S	160	-
FW&D	25	-
D&E	4	62
DL&W	17	-
Erie	61	50
GN	186	-
GB&W	10	-
IC	256	82
KCS	204	-
LA&R	-	10
LV	40	95
L&N	430	20
MA&StL	42	83
M&T	203	-
MP	355	261
NYC	494	1,120
NYC&StL	76	-
NYNH&H	-	20
N&W	126	204
NP	136	-
Penna	85	32
QC	9	90
QNS&L	360	-
Reading	22	27
StL-SF	106	212
StL&SW	-	100
Soo	70	-
Southern	284	19
AGS	69	-
C&W	30	-
CNO&TP	45	-
GO&P	160	-
MO&NE	25	-
SP	-	364
T&NO	93	-
SP&S	42	-
T&P	-	90
Union	1	-
UP	622	6
Virginian	29	-
Wabash	127	-
WM	95	-
WP	164	-
<b>Totals</b>	<b>8,344</b>	<b>9,363</b>

telegraph and printing telegraph service, particularly in storm areas. It has been and still is being used successfully on the Rock Island and the Santa Fe, and is well established for pipe line and power companies and toll road authorities. But the stumbling block for more extensive use of microwave by the railroads is the telephone companies' attitude toward the railroads' use of microwave. When the railroads are assured that their facilities including microwave systems will be accorded the same interconnection privileges as their existing line wire circuits are, then they will install more microwave systems.

**Automatic Telephones Promise Savings**

Because of the increased cost of wages for telephone switchboard operators, several roads are now showing increased interest in railroad-owned automatic telephone systems. In 1954, the Louisville & Nashville added an automatic exchange making a total of 11 such railroad-owned exchanges, in as many different cities, which are connected by the railroad's long-distance trunk circuits, so that a railroad man in his office in one city can dial through to a railroad man in any of the other cities.

Thirty-three railroads, including the L&N, in the United States and Canada have in service a total of 88 railroad-owned private automatic exchanges, P-A-X, including about 6,900 lines to telephones in railroad offices, shops, yards and stations. These automatic ex-

changes range in size from 25 to 600 lines. Some of them include night watchmen reporting systems and fire alarm systems.

Television may also be used more extensively in yards, furthering the vision of the yardmaster. The Southern Pacific plans an installation in which the yardmaster will have several cameras at his command, telephoto lenses on some for close-up shots, and others with wide-angle lenses to give him an over-all view of the yard.

The Pennsylvania now has a TV camera watching operations at the Post Office in Pittsburgh, Pa. (RS&C p. 56 May 1954) enabling the train director to better coordinate switching moves in the area. The Rock Island is planning to use TV cameras at several street crossings in Cedar Rapids, Ia., enabling a gateman to control several crossings more effectively during switching operations. The Rock Island made an experimental installation in 1954 of television and microwave in which a camera at Englewood station, Chicago, and controlled by the stationmaster "shot" a picture which was transmitted by microwave 6.7 miles north to La Salle street station, and viewed on receivers in the railroad's general offices.

**Communications' Future Growth Assured**

Railroad communications will grow because railroad management desires well-integrated and complete communication systems to meet their ever-growing needs for information transmission. "It is management's responsibility to designate what information is to be transmitted," stated J. A. Feagler, chief methods research officer, Chesapeake & Ohio, at the November, 1954 convention of the Railway Systems and Procedures Association. He further remarked that the communications department role is to provide the means for transmitting that information. But only through an understanding and appreciation of each others responsibility and work will managements and communications engineers obtain for their railroads well-integrated and efficient communications systems which can be economically justified.

**Signaling for 1955**

*(Continued from page 21)*

away with so-called "outfit cars," including kitchen, dining, sleeping and tool cars, and, instead, to adopt one of two practices:

1) Use highway trucks with crews headquartered at a central point on a territory of up to about 50 to 60 miles. If some or all of the men do not have their homes at the headquarter town, highway trailers are provided by the railroads as living quarters.

2) On new signaling projects extending over considerable mileage of 100 miles or more, establish construction headquarters in an empty roundhouse or other railroad building, where a headquarters crew does all the case wiring and other preparatory work, and road crews, using trucks and highway trailers, move their headquarters from place to place as the work progresses.

In conclusion, the signaling on railroads is never finished, but must be changed constantly, not only to secure the advantages of up-to-date equipment but also to install modern systems of signaling which will meet tomorrow's need for increased safety, more efficient utilization of locomotive cars and tracks, as well as reduced operating expenses. For these reasons the volume of signaling purchased by the railways will continue at a high level during 1955.